Continuous-Time Controllers for Stabilization of Periodic Orbits for Hybrid Systems: Application to an Underactuated 3D Bipedal Robot

Kaveh Akbari Hamed, Brian G. Buss, and J. W. Grizzle

I. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

According to the invariance condition, $T(x_i^*, \xi) = T^*$ for all $\xi \in \Xi$. This fact together with (14) implies that the Jacobian of the Poincaré return map can be expressed as

$$D_{1} P(x_{f}^{*},\xi) = D_{1} \varphi(T^{*},x_{i}^{*},\xi) D_{1} T(x_{i}^{*},\xi) D \Delta(x_{f}^{*}) + D_{2} \varphi(T^{*},x_{i}^{*},\xi) D \Delta(x_{f}^{*}).$$
(33)

In our notation for a C^1 function $h(z_1, \cdots, z_r)$,

$$\mathbf{D}_j h(z_1, \cdots, z_r) := \frac{\partial h}{\partial z_j}(z_1, \cdots, z_r), \quad j = 1, \cdots, r.$$

Furthermore,

$$D_{1} \varphi(T^{*}, x_{i}^{*}, \xi) = \dot{\varphi}(T^{*}, x_{i}^{*}, \xi)$$

= $f^{cl}(\varphi(T^{*}, x_{i}^{*}, \xi), \xi)$
= $f^{cl}(x_{f}^{*}, \xi)$
= $f^{cl}(x_{f}^{*}, \xi^{*}),$ (34)

in which in the last equality, we have made use of the invariance condition. D₂ $\varphi(T^*, x_i^*, \xi)$ can also be expressed as

$$D_{2} \varphi(T^{*}, x_{i}^{*}, \xi) = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(T^{*}, x_{i}^{*}, \xi)$$

= $\Phi(T^{*}, x_{i}^{*}, \xi)$
= $\Phi_{f}^{*}(\xi).$ (35)

From the switching and invariance conditions,

$$s(\varphi(T^*, x_i^*, \xi)) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \Xi$$

which together with the Implicit Function Theorem implies that

$$s(\varphi(T(x,\xi),x,\xi)) = 0 \tag{36}$$

for all x in an open neighborhood of x_i^* and all $\xi \in \Xi$. Differentiating (36) with respect to x around (x_i^*, ξ) results in

$$D s(x_f^*) D_1 \varphi(T^*, x_i^*, \xi) D_1 T(x_i^*, \xi) + D s(x_f^*) D_2 \varphi(T^*, x_i^*, \xi) = 0$$

which in combination with (34), (35) and the transversality assumption results in

$$\mathbf{D}_1 T(x_i^*, \xi) = -\frac{\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x_f^*) \, \Phi_f^*(\xi)}{\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x_f^*) \, f^{\mathrm{cl}}(x_f^*, \xi^*)}.$$
(37)

K. Akbari Hamed was supported by DARPA Contract W91CRB-11-1-0002. B. G. Buss was supported by NSF Graduate Student Research Fellowship under Grant No. DGE 1256260 and J. W. Grizzle was supported by NSF grant ECCS-1231171.

K. Akbari Hamed. B. G. Buss, and J. W. Grizzle are with the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department of the University Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, {kavehah,bgbuss,grizzle}@umich.edu

Next, replacing (37) in (33) follows that

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}(x_f^*,\xi) = \Pi(x_f^*,\xi^*) \,\Phi_f^*(\xi) \,\Upsilon(x_f^*). \tag{38}$$

In particular, from (38), the Jacobian of the Poincaré map, i.e., $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}(x_f^*,\xi)$, depends on ξ only through the final state trajectory matrix $\Phi_f^*(\xi)$. One immediate consequence of (38) is that

$$\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial \xi_i \partial x}(x_f^*,\xi^*) = \Pi(x_f^*,\xi^*) \, \frac{\partial \Phi_f^*}{\partial \xi_i}(\xi^*) \, \Upsilon(x_f^*)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, p$ which completes the proof.

II. PROOF OF THEOREM 2, PART 1

We claim there exists a $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times np}$ matrix such that for all $\Delta \xi \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} A_i \,\Delta\xi_i = B \,\left(I \otimes \Delta\xi \right). \tag{39}$$

To show this, let us partition the B matrix as follow

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & \cdots & B_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where $B_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. From the definition of the Kronecker product,

$$B (I \otimes \Delta \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & \cdots & B_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \xi & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \Delta \xi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, the *j*-th column of $B(I \otimes \Delta \xi)$ becomes $B_j \Delta \xi$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. In order to satisfy (39), one can conclude that

$$B_j \Delta \xi = \sum_{i=1}^p A_i(:,j) \Delta \xi_i, \qquad (40)$$

where $A_i(:, j)$ represents the *j*-th column of A_i . Next, differentiating (40) with respect to $\Delta \xi$ together with $\frac{\partial \Delta \xi_i}{\partial \Delta \xi} = e_i^{\top}, i = 1, \cdots, p$ yields

$$B_j = \sum_{i=1}^p A_i(:,j) e_i^{\top}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n$$
 (41)

which completes the proof.